



Peter Gregorčič

████████████████████
1000 Ljubljana
SLOVENIA

ECHR-LSvn11.00R
AT/IST/emo

12/10/2023

Vloga št. 20731/23

Gregorčič and Others v. Slovenia

Obveščam vas, da je Evropsko sodišče za človekove pravice v sestavi sodnika posameznika odločilo, da zgoraj navedeno vlogo razglasi za nedopustno.

Odločbo Sodišča vam pošiljamo v prilogi.

Ta odločitev je dokončna in se nanjo ne morete pritožiti na odbor, senat, ali na veliki senat. Od Sodišča zato ne boste prejeli nobenega drugega dopisa, ki bi se nanašal na to zadevo. V skladu s svojo prakso arhiviranja bo Sodišče spis hranilo največ eno leto od datuma odločitve.

Odločba Sodišča je v enem od uradnih jezikov Sodišča (angleščini ali francoščini). Prevodi v druge jezike niso na voljo.

Sodno tajništvo Evropskega sodišča za človekove pravice

Seznam pritožnikov:

Peter Gregorčič
Radiotelevizija Slovenija
Andrej Grah Whatmough
Uroš Urbanija
Tamara Besednjak Valič



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

DECISION

CASE OF GREGORČIČ AND OTHERS v. SLOVENIA

(Application no. 20731/23)

introduced on 5 June 2023

The European Court of Human Rights, sitting on 5 October 2023 in a single-judge formation pursuant to Articles 24 § 2 and 27 of the Convention, has examined the application as submitted.

The application refers to Article 6 § 1 of the Convention, Article 8 § 1 of the Convention, Article 10 § 1 of the Convention and Article 13 of the Convention.

As concerns the complaints raised under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention and Article 13 of the Convention concerning the lifting of the interim measure by the Constitutional Court, the Court finds in the light of all the material in its possession that the matters complained of do not disclose any appearance of a violation of the rights and freedoms set out in the Convention or the Protocols thereto. Accordingly, these complaints are manifestly ill-founded within the meaning of Article 35 § 3 (a).

As concerns the reminder of the application, the Court finds that domestic remedies have not been exhausted as required by Article 35 § 1 of the Convention, since the proceedings giving rise to the issues under the Convention are still pending.

The Court *declares* the application inadmissible.

Egidijus Kūris
Judge